

Christoph Steier A. Biocca, S. Jacobson, L. Nadolski, G. Portmann, T. Scarvie ALS Accelerator Physics Group

- The Advanced Light Source
- Orbit Stability: Long term
- Recent slow feedback upgrades
- Example: RF frequency feedback
- Summary/future slow feedback development

Advanced Light Source

1

ALS Parameters:



Nominal Energy	1.5-1.9 GeV
Circumference	196.8 m
RF frequency	499.642 MHz
Harmonic number	328
Beam current	400 mA multibunch
	65 mA two-bunch
Nat. emittance	6.3 nm
	at 1.9 GeV
Emittance Coupling	Typical about 2%
Nat. energy spread	0.097%
Refill period	3 times daily
	multibunch,
	12 times daily, two-
	bunch



Beam Location	Horizontal	Vertical
Straight Section	30 µm	2.3 µm
Bend Magnet #2	10.3 µm	1.3 µm



ALS Lattice



- 12 nearly identical arcs TBA; aluminum vacuum chamber
- 96 + 40 beam position monitors (about 4 of stable type per arc)
- 8 horizontal, 6 vertical corrector magnets per arc
- 18 individual skew quadrupoles
- beam based alignment capability in all quadrupoles (either individual power supplies or shunts)
- 22 corrector magnets in each plane on especially thin vacuum chamber pieces

Software used for slow orbit feedback



- All ALS high level controls accelerator physics routines are implemented in Matlab
- Orbit feedback is controlled using a GUI which allows to ramp for injection, do single orbit corrections, standardize the lattice, etc.
- Matlab includes all Matrix manipulation tools necessary and has proven to be very reliable
- Code is very flexible (algorithm development is simple and can if urgent need arises even be done during user operation)
- Compiled version (does not need Matlab license) exists





Frequency	Magnitude	Dominant Cause
		1. BPM chamber motion
1 hour - 2 weeks	±3 μm Horizontal	2. BPM electronics drift and
	±5 μm Vertical	systematic errors
		3. Limited number of
		BPMs/correctors
Minutes	< 1 µm	1. BPM noise and beam
		vibration (aliasing)
		2. Corrector resolution
		(digitization)
	3 µm Horizontal	1. Ground vibrations
.2 to 300 Hz	1 µm Vertical	2. Cooling water vibrations
		3. Power supply ripple
		4. Feed forward errors

Beam Stability in straight sections w/ Orbit Feedback and w/ Insertion Device Feed-Forward

• Improve long term stability with measurement of physical BPM location (relative to ground plate)

DAILY ORBIT VARIATIONS WITH AND WITHOUT SLOW ORBIT FEEDBACK





Orbit feedback performance over 1 week







- Slow orbit feedback is performing very well in horizontal plane
- Typically better than 1/50 of beam size (system is underconstrained!)

Orbit feedback performance over 1 week II





- Slow orbit feedback is performing well in vertical plane
- Some BPMs clearly are problematic (thermal behaviour + drift)
- ✤ Again system is underconstrained

Recent Upgrades





• RF-frequency feedback (significantly improved horizontal orbit stability in arcs, and the beam energy stability)

• 20 Bit D/A converters

Two staged 16 Bit DACs, analog summing junction, low pass filter for coarse DAC, feedback only uses fine DAC

- No digitization noise from SVD mid term orbit stability now typically submicron
- Subsequent installation of additional stable BPMs inside all arcs (only 6 arcs and all straights are equipped so far) in response to a direct user request
- Inclusion of additional corrector magnets
- Reduction of aliasing problems with multiplexing noise of new Bergoz BPMs

RF-Frequency Feedback





• Largest long term effect is rain season (plus outside temperature)

• Short term the fill cycle has a strong effect (heating), but insertion device gap changes are equally important and in an FFT also tidal effects show up

Energy calibration (resonant depolarization)





Time (hrs)

- Excitation frequency (MHz) 0 4 7 8 0.479 0 4 8 0 0 4 8 1 0.482 a) resonance at upper sideband α=1.628±0.004 x10 26 (25. ΔΕ/Ε (x10³) 25 25 1 9018 1.9020 1.9008 1 9010 1 9012 1 9014 1 9016 1 9022 1.04 1.042 1.043 1.044 1.045 25.6 b) resonance at lower sideband 25.4 -2 25.2 $\Delta f_{rf}/f_{rf} (x10^{6})$ 25.0 24.8 1.9022 1.9020 1.9018 1.9016 1.9014 1.9012 1.9010 1.9008 Beam energy (GeV)
 - High precision measurement of beam energy is relatively simple at low energy light sources like ALS
 - Allows some conclusions about long term orbit/magnet/ground plate stability
 - Verified the RF-frequency feedback at ALS

11

Summary



- The slow orbit stability at the ALS is constantly being improved
 Main improvement comes from slow orbit feedback
- Most user requirements are fulfilled right now, but experiments get more advanced
- To get below 1/10 of a beamsize in the the vertical plane, position monitoring of BPMs or even better thermal stability is necessary
- Higher DAC resolution improved stability on s to min timescale significantly
- Continue to upgrade more BPMs to stable electronics and buttons
- BPM performance is crucial and currently we have to chase a lot of intermittent problems – we will work on better automated diagnostic