IWBS 2002 SPring-8, Japan December 2002

# **Status of Beam Stabilisation at ESRF**

(L Farvacque, E Plouviez, L Zhang, E.S.R.F)

Slow orbit control

Installation of mechanical damping links

Fast orbit control

## **E.S.R.F** Parameters

	Н	V		
Energy	6 GeV			
circumference	844.39 m			
emittances	4.0 nm 30 pm			

# **Stability criterion**

Emittance growth	20%
Fraction of beam size	10%
Fraction of divergence	10%

Reference: middle of a high- $\beta$  straight section:

	Н	V
β-function	35.1	2.5
Beam size	380 μm	9 μm
Required stability	38 µm	0.9 μm

## **Slow orbit control**

## **BPMs**

- ✤ 224 BPMs for a tune of 36.44 / 14.39
- Resolution: 1  $\mu$ m with averaging

6 x 10ms samples, spaced in opposite phase for 7Hz, main perturbation. The corresponding error on beam stability (for  $\beta_{\text{BPM}} = 20m$ ) is:

	Н	V
emittance growth	0.73%	8%
fraction of beam size	0.36%	4%
fraction of divergence	0.36%	4%

This is not negligible in the vertical plane. Using a global correction method improves this number by eliminating uncorrelated errors.

Offset calibration

Performed by varying the current in the quadrupole supporting each BPM. Resolution: 20  $\mu m$ 

Periodic update necessary because of:

- Ageing (cables...)
- Replacement of multiplexors



Periodic update is also necessary to take into account:

- Ageing
- Replacement of multiplexors

#### Steerers

96 steerers in each plane

Combined function correctors using additional coils on sextupole yokes.

15-bit DAC

The resolution was improved by reducing the full range by a factor 4.

	Н	V
Range (mrad)	0.66	0.41
Resolution (mrad)	4.1 10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.6 10 <sup>-6</sup>

Consequently, the errors made when setting a global correction (96 random errors) are:

	Н	V
Emittance growth	0.24%	1.52%
Fraction of beam size	0.12%	0.76%
Fraction of divergence	0.12%	0.76%

For resolution errors, the BPMs are now slightly dominating.

## Correction method

Global correction by SVD method applied every 30 s. Using measured response matrices.

Using a limited number of Eigen vectors to limit the sensitivity to BPM drift and resolution errors.

Target: zero orbit (no "golden orbit" definition).

## **Horizontally:**

- ★ 224 BPMS + constraint on steerers:  $\Sigma I = 0$
- ✤ 96 steerers + RF frequency

This is to avoid any influence of orbit control on beam energy.

## Vertically

- ✤ 224 BPMs
- ✤ 96 vertical steerers

The choice of the optimum number of Eigen vectors is based on an estimate of the amplitude of BPM drifts.

## Control

- Electron BPMs: as we have much more BPMs than steerers, we can have "independent" monitors.
- Pinhole cameras
- ✤ X-BPMs:



## Long term performance

Data taken over a full run: 7 weeks.

The values are averaged over slices of 15 minutes.

The beam position is computed in the middle of straight sections.

All 16 sections superimposed





## Medium term stability

The beam position is computed in the middle of straight sections.

The position sampled every minute.

Integration over 60 ms.

2 days, uniform filling.



#### **Example of bad behaviour: Air temperature control** *in the tunnel*

The tunnel is split in 4 quadrants, cooled air is injected at one end of each quadrant and extracted at the other end.



Initially, the regulation sensor was near the extraction end

Before modification

We see very large oscillations of the temperature of injected air (very long delay in the regulation loop).



#### After modification

Improvement on long-term stability Faster stabilization after shutdown

## Conclusion

- Unfortunately, with this method of ventilation, we cannot avoid a large temperature gradient along the section, varying with:
  - Magnet powering
  - Stored beam
- However, the way the regulation is done has a strong influence.

# Installation of damping links Design



L Farvacque, E.S.R.F

#### Performance

## horizontal plane





## Fast orbit control

## **BPMS**

Dedicated BPMs, located at the end of straight sections

- Higher sensitivity (larger buttons, smaller vertical aperture).
- ✤ Dedicated electronics: low noise. Resolution 20 nm/√Hz
- ✤ Beam intensity range: 5 mA to 200 mA
- Multiplexed system, sampling frequency 4.4 kHz
- ✤ We do not care about long term stability, drifts

## **Steerers**

- Air coils, located et the end of straight sections (around bellows)
- bandwidth limited by the vacuum chamber.
- Range: 40 μrad

## System

- Digital, DSP based system
- ✤ The loop runs at 4.4 kHz
- Bandwidth 0.1 200 Hz. We eliminate the DC component. The decoupling between slow control and feedback is made by in the frequency domain.

## Control

BPMs: 4.4kHz sampling, 1024 points archived per sample

- Frequency resolution 4.3 Hz
- Bandwidth 200Hz

## Performance

## Horizontal plane

 4 independent local horizontal feedbacks using 2 BPMs and 4 kickers (closed bumps)



Horizontal beam motion in high- $\beta$  straight sections

- + Very efficient
- Limited control: no independent BPM
- No fault tolerance: any failure causes the feedback to stop
- Cannot be generalised (crosstalk)
- Matching (lever arm /  $\beta$ -function) not optimal





L Farvacque, E.S.R.F

## Limitations:

- The bandwidth is limited by the steerer design: eddy currents in the vacuum chamber.
- The noise level is limited by the BPMs (multiplexing technology)

## New developments

Replacement of all the horizontal local feedbacks by a global feedback.

- using 32 BPMs and 32 steerers (higher horizontal tune).
- Higher above the noise level (efficiency should be better)
- ✤ New hardware for the digital part.

Expected gain:

- Beneficial everywhere around the machine
- High reliability: can work with missing BPMs and steerers

## **Performance summary**

The broadband PSD can be plotted using several diagnostics:

- Low frequency: standard BPM archived in the history database and averaged over slices of 8 minutes.
- Medium frequency: live reading of standard BPMs: 1Hz sampling frequency
- High frequency: statistics on fast BPMs: 4.4 kHz sampling frequency

#### Frequency domain



## Time domain

r.m.s.	beam	position	on	straight	section	BPMs
--------	------	----------	----	----------	---------	------

		4-12 Hz	4-200 Hz		
no damping links	(μ <b>m</b> )	10	12		
with damping links	(μ <b>m</b> )	2.7	4		
damping links+feedback	(μ <b>m</b> )	0.28	1		

#### Horizontal ( $\beta_x = 35.4 \text{ m}$ )

## Vertical ( $\beta_z = 6.2 m$ )

	4-12 Hz	4-200 Hz
no damping links (μm)		
with damping links (µm)	0.5	1
damping links+feedback (µm)	0.17	0.6

## Conclusion

The combination of slow control and mechanical damping brings the vibration level to an acceptable level (less than 10% of beam size). However some users require a much better stability. This can be achieved by feedback.

Reaching the micron range requires the combination of all the available approaches.

The amplitude of very slow motion is still dominant.